

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. Q76445 3411 10/611,990 07/03/2003 Akio Miyamoto EXAMINER 23373 7590 02/11/2005 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC KLEMANSKI, HELENE G 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 800** WASHINGTON, DC 20037

1755
DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)   Ap			h
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Helene Klemanski   1755  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified store is less than birty (30) stays, a reply within the statutory relief will exploit the system of this period for reply specified store is less than birty (30) stays, a reply within the statutory relief will exploit of the reply specified store is less than birty (30) stays, a reply within the statutory relief will exploit of the reply specified store is less than birty (30) stays, a reply within the statutory relief will exploit of the reply specified store is less than birty (30) stays, a reply will exploit 90 (MONTH) fill on the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified store is less than the communication.  If the period for reply specified store is less than the statutory period will apply she will reply (10) stays will be considered limely.  If the period for reply specified store is less than the statutory period will apply she will reply (10) stays will be considered limely.  If the period for reply specified store is less than the statutory period will apply she will reply (10) stays will be considered limely.  Any reply received by the Office laser than the statutory period will apply she will reply (10) stays will be considered in the statutory reply will reply she will be considered in the statutory reply reply she will be considered in the statutory reply reply and will reply (10) stays will be considered in the statutory reply stays and reply she will be considered in the statutory reply she will be considered in the statutory reply reply she will be considered in the statutory reply reply she will be considered in the statutory reply she will be considered in the statutory reply reply she will be considered in the statutory reply she will be considered in the statutory reply sh		10/611,990	MIYAMOTO ET AL.
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions for time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(do), in or event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication, or 3 CFR 1.13(do), in or event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the mailing date of this communication. Fellulate to reply within the set or estanded period for reply will, by stallutory period will apply and will deplies (1) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Fellulate to reply within the set or estanded period for reply will, by stallutory period will apply and will deplie the mailing date of this communication. Fellulate to reply within the set or estanded period for reply will, by stallutory period will apply and will be considered timely.  1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eletrations of lines may be available used if the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply is evailable used if the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply seep state flows it less than this (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory stay within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory stay within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory will expire 30 (6) MoNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure is reply filed. The specified above, the maximum statutory will expire 30 (6) MoNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Plant or the maximum statutory will be considered to the communication.  All the specified in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edaminion of time may be available under the provisions of 3 C PR 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be limely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply sending date on its east then Miny (30) deaps, a reply within the statuthory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered friency).  Failure to reply within the set or extended principle (or reply will. by statular, causes the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S. (§ 133).  Any reply received by the Office late than there meaning after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a my sended patent term edylurisms. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	·	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status		
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
All b  Some * c) None of:    Disposition of Claims   4.   Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.   4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   5.   Claim(s) is/are allowed.   6.   Claim(s) is/are rejected.   7.   Claim(s) is/are objected to.   8.   Claim(s) is/are objected to.   8.   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	·— · · · ·		
4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(a)  1)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.	*
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers		
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/611,990 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-10, 13 and 14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 7-16 of copending Application No. 10/807,442 (US 2004/0200385). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said patent claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1-9, 13 and 14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 5-11 of copending Application No. 10/805,251 (US 2005/0001890). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

because the claims of the present application overlap said patent claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. Claims 1-10, 13 and 14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 6 and 8-10 of copending Application No. 10/600,831 (US 2004/0011248). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said patent claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims 1-10, 13 and 14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/806,452 (US 2004/0189765). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said patent claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Claims 1-8, 13, 14 and 16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, 6

Art Unit: 1755

and 11-23 of copending Application No. 10/660,653 (US 2004/0080595). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said patent claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7. Claims 1-8, 13 and 14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 8-16 of copending Application No. 10/806,424 (US 2004/0194660). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said patent claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,990

Art Unit: 1755

9. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Taguchi et al (US 2004/0011248).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Taguchi et al (US 2004/0011248) teach an ink set comprising at least four ink jet ink compositions wherein each ink comprises an aqueous medium, a dye of the formula

$$SO_3K$$
 $SO_3K$ 
 $SO_3Na$ 
 $SO_3Na$ 
 $SO_3Na$ 

and 0.01-20 wt% of a betaine surfactant of the formula

Application/Control Number: 10/611,990

Art Unit: 1755

wherein R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> each represents an alkyl group, an aryl group or a heterocyclic group wherein at least two of R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> may be linked with each other to form a ring; L represents a divalent linking group and at least one of R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> and L is a group having from 8 to 40 carbon atoms. Each ink jet ink compositions may further contain 0.001-15 wt% of a nonionic surfactant (i.e. defoaming agent) such as polyoxyethylene nonylphenyl ether, polyoxyethylene naphthyl ether, polyoxyethylene octylphenyl ether and SURFYNOLS (i.e. acetylene-based polyoxyethylene oxide surfactant). The inks are printed onto a substrate such as a recording paper by ink jet printing process. The recording paper can comprise chemical pulp, mechanical pulp or waste paper pulp. The substrate can comprise an image receiving layer and a back coat layer. The image receiving layer contains a porous material, an aqueous binder and a white pigment such as calcium carbonate, kaolin, talc, clay, diatomaceous earth, synthetic amorphous silica, aluminum silicate, magnesium silicate, calcium silicate, aluminum hydroxide, alumina, lithopone, zeolite, barium sulfate, calcium sulfate, titanium dioxide, zinc sulfide and zinc carbonate. The back coat layer contains an aqueous binder and a white pigment. Sound wave oscillation may also be performed on the inks for preventing the inks from generating bubbles by the pressure applied to a recording head. The sound wave is performed by applying sound wave energy which is the same with or higher than the energy applied at a recording head during the manufacture of the inks. The inks may

Application/Control Number: 10/611,990

Art Unit: 1755

further be filtered to remove impurities. The filter preferably has an effective diameter of 1 µm or less. Taguchi et al (US 2004/0011248) further teach an ink jet recording

method using the above ink set comprising the above inks. See paras. 0007-0019,

compounds X-1 to X-18, paras. 0031-0032, paras. 0072, paras. 0075-0078, para. 0088,

paras. 0136-0142, paras. 0153-0155, paras. 0165-0167, para. 0173, para. 0178,

examples 1 and 2, Tables 1 and 3 and claims 1, 2, 6 and 8-10. The ink set comprising

at least four ink jet ink compositions as taught by Taguchi et al (US 2004/0011248)

appears to anticipate the present claims.

The only limitation in the claims not found by the examiner is the bubble height after the ink is shaken and allowed to stand. However, this limitation is considered inherent because there does not appear to be any reason why the cited reference would not contain an ink set comprising at least four ink jet ink compositions with applicants claimed bubble height since Taguchi et al (US 2004/0011248) specifically teach the addition of an anti-foaming agent (non-ionic surfactant) and the application of Sound wave oscillation for preventing the inks from generating bubbles by the pressure applied to a recording head as claimed by applicants.

10. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

## Page 8

#### Conclusion

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Klemanski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helene Klemanski Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

HK February 3, 2005